arXiv:1209.3981v1 [math.AG] 18 Sep 2012

ON CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF SOME GLOBALLY SEMI-ANALYTIC SETS

ABDELHAFED ELKHADIRI

ABSTRACT. We isolate a class, say $\mathcal{A}$, of global real analytic functions such that, each global semi-analytic set defined by $\mathcal{A}$ has only finitely many connected components and each component is also a global semi-analytic set defined by $\mathcal{A}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called semi-algebraic if it can be represented as a (finite) boolean combination of sets of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid P(x) = 0\}$, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Q(x) \neq 0\}$, where $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are n variables polynomials with real coefficients. A fundamental result of Tarski-Seidenberg says that the projection of a semi-algebraic set is a semi-algebraic set. This result is known to logicians as quantifiers elimination for the ordered ring structure on $\mathbb{R}$, say $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Immediate consequence are the facts that the closure, interior and boundary of a semi-algebraic set are semi-algebraic. The result of Tarski-Seidenberg is also the basis for many inductive arguments in semi-algebraic geometry where a desired property of a given semi-algebraic set is inferred from the same property of projection of the set into lower dimension. See for example [6] and [2] for triangulation of bounded semi-algebraic sets.

An other important property of semi-algebraic sets is that they have only a finite number of connected components, and each of them is also semi-algebraic. This follows from Collins [3], in which a new decision method for the reals is constructed, much more time efficient than Tarski's. Here we are interested in Collins' key *geometric* idea, which he calls " *cylindric decomposition*". This idea is used by Bierston and Milman [1] to establish some results of Lojasiewicz [9] on the germs of semi-analytic sets.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an arbitrary but fixed o-minimal expansion of the ordered field $\mathbb{R}$. For more details about o-minimal structures over the field of reals, we refer the reader to [4]. Consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an open definable set. In this paper

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B25, 14B10, 32B05, 32B20; Secondary 03C10.

Key words and phrases. Weierstrass division theorem, semi-analytic sets, o-minimal structures .

This work was partially supported by PARS MI33.

we isolate a subalgebra, say $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, of the algebra of real analytic definable functions on Ω, and we define our global semi-analytic sets as follow: a set $A \subset \Omega$ is called $\mathcal{A}$- semi analytic set if there exists a finite number of open definable sets $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, such that:

(1) $\Omega = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{s} \Omega_j$

(2) $A \cap \Omega_j$ is a finite union of sets of the form:

$$\{x \in \Omega / \, \varphi_0(x) = 0, \, \varphi_1(x) > 0, \ldots, \varphi_k > 0\},$$

with $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_j)$.

The main result of this paper is a semi-global Weierstrass Preparation Theorem in such algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, see corollary 5.6. As an application, we prove that every $\mathcal{A}$- semi analytic set has a finite number of connected components, and each of them is also $\mathcal{A}$- semi analytic.

2. Topological Noetherianity

$\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, ., -, 0, 1, \ldots)$ will, in this paper, denote an arbitrary, but fixed, o-minimal expansion of the ordered field $\mathbb{R}$. Definable means definable in $\mathcal{R}$ with parameters from $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ the algebra of real analytic functions on Ω. If Ω is definable, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ the set of all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ definable. Clearly, $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-subalgebra closed under derivative and $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{|\Omega} \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, where $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the ring of polynomials. If $SM\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is the maximal spectrum of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, we have then an injection

$$\Omega \to SM\mathcal{O}(\Omega),$$

every $x \in \Omega$ is identified with the maximal ideal:

$$\underline{m}_x = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \, / \, f(x) = 0\}.$$

We denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{O})$ the topological space Ω with the induced topology of $SM\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open definable set, then the ring $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is Noetherian.*

Proof. Since Ω is a finite union of open disjoint intervals: $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_q$, we have $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) = \mathcal{O}(\Omega_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}(\Omega_q)$. We can then suppose, for the proof, that Ω is an open interval. Let $F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) - \{0\}$, since the number of real zeros of F in Ω is finite, there exists a polynomial $Q_F \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ such that $F = Q_F \Psi_F$, where $\Psi_F \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and $\Psi_F(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \Omega$. It is clear that $\Psi_F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. Now let $I \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be an ideal, we consider the ideal $J \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

generated by all Q_F, $F \in I$. Let $\{Q_{F_1}, \ldots, Q_{F_s}\}$ be a system of generators of the ideal J. It is clear that the system $\{F_1, \ldots, F_s\}$ generates the ideal I.

Remark 2.2. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, the algebra $\mathbb{R}[x, \sin x, \cos x]$ is Noetherian, but the functions $\mathbb{R} \ni x \to \sin x$ and $\mathbb{R} \ni x \to \cos x$ are not definable in any o-minimal structure.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, if $I \subset \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is an a ideal, we put:

$$V(I) = \{x \in \Omega \,/\, f(x) = 0, \, \forall f \in I\}.$$

If I is generated by one element $h \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, we write $V(h)$ instead of $V((h)\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$. Finely, we denote by $RegV(h)$ the set of regular points of $V(h)$ i.e. the set of all $x \in V(h)$ such that $V(h)$ is an embedded submanifold in a neighborhood of x.
We consider the following property for the structure $\mathcal{R}$.

2.1. *For all $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $RegV(f)$ is definable in $\mathcal{R}$.*
We recall that if the structure $\mathcal{R}$ has the analytic cell decomposition, see [5], then $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the property 2.1. We can find examples of such structures in [10]. We note that not every 0-minimal structure has analytic cell decomposition see [7]. However, most known 0-minimal expansions of the real numbers admit analytic cell decomposition. Both $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, ., -, 0, 1)$ and $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \exp)$, where $x \to \exp x$ is the global exponential function, admit analytic cell decomposition. More generally the Pfaffian closure of an o-minimal structure preserves analytic cell decomposition.

Remark 2.3. In the case where the structure $\mathcal{R} = \overline{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, ., -, 0, 1)$, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open definable set, then Ω is semi-algebraic. In this setting $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is the algebra of Nash functions, we know by [11] that $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is Noetherian.

Problem 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 1$, be an open definable set, we suppose that the structure satisfies the property 2.1. Is the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is Noetherian?*

The following theorem is the topological version of Noetherianity of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that the structure $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the property 2.1, then the topological space $\Omega(\mathcal{O})$ is a Noetherian space, in order words, any decreasing sequence of closed sets of $\Omega(\mathcal{O})$ stabilizes.*

Proof. Let $F \subset \Omega(\mathcal{O})$ be a closed set. There exists $I \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ an ideal such that $F = V(I) := \{x \in \Omega \,/\, f(x) = 0, \, \forall f \in I\}$. First, we will show that

$V(I)$ can be defined by one equation, i.e. there is $h \in I$ such that

$$V(I) = V(h) := \{x \in \Omega \,/\, h(x) = 0\}.$$

Let $g \in I - \{0\}$, we have $V(I) \subset V(g)$. Since $RegV(g)$ is definable, we know that $RegV(g)$ has a finite number of connected components: $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s$. We put

$$\mu_1 = max\{dim\Gamma_j \,/\, \Gamma_j \not\subseteq V(I)\}.$$

If $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\nu$ are the connected components of $RegV(g)$ such that

$$dim\Gamma_l = \mu_1,\, \Gamma_l \not\subseteq V(I),\, l = 1, \dots, \nu,$$

for each $l = 1, \dots, \nu$, there exists $h_l \in I$ such that $h_{l|\Gamma_l} \neq 0$. We put $h = \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} h_l^2 \in I$. We have

$$V(I) \subset V(\psi) \subsetneqq V(g),$$

where $\psi = h^2 + g^2$.
If we put

$$\mu_2 = max\{dim\Gamma_k \,/\, \Gamma_k \,\text{connected component of}\, Reg\psi,\, \Gamma_k \not\subseteq V(I)\},$$

we have $\mu_2 < \mu_1$.
By continuing this processus with ψ and so on, we see then, there is $\varphi \in I$ such that $V(I) = V(\varphi)$.
Now let $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ be a decreasing sequence of closed sets of the topological space $\Omega(\mathcal{O})$. For each $j \in J$, there exists $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $F_j = V(\varphi_j)$. For each $V(\varphi_j)$ we associate a $n+1$-tuple $\nu_j = (\nu_{j,n}, \nu_{j,n-1}, \dots, \nu_{j,0}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, where $\nu_{j,k}$ is the number of connected components of $RegV(\varphi_j)$ of dimension k. If we consider the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, we have $\nu_j < \nu_i$ if $V(\varphi_j) \subsetneqq V(\varphi_i)$. Hence the sequence $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ stabilizes.

Proposition 2.6. *With the same hypothesis as theorem 2.5, the mapping $\Omega \to SM\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is a bijection.*

Proof. Let $\underline{m} \in SM\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and suppose that for each $x \in \Omega$, $\underline{m} \not\subseteq \underline{m}_x$. If $f \in \underline{m}$, there is $x_1 \in \Omega$ such that $f(x_1) = 0$ (if not f will be invertible in $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$). Since $\underline{m} \not\subseteq \underline{m}_{x_1}$, there exists $g \in \underline{m}$ with $g(x_1) \neq 0$. We have then $V(h) \subsetneqq V(f)$, where $h = f^2 + g^2$. We remark that $V(h) \neq \emptyset$, since $h \in \underline{m}$. We pick $x_2 \in V(h)$ and by using again $\underline{m} \not\subseteq \underline{m}_{x_2}$, there exits $k \in \underline{m}$ with $k(x_2) \neq 0$. By continuing this processus, we construct an infinite decreasing sequence of closed sets of $\Omega(\mathcal{O})$, which is a contradiction. Hence there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{m} \subset \underline{m}_x$ i.e. $\underline{m} = \underline{m}_x$.
In all the following, $\mathcal{R}$ is an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers

satisfying the property 2.1. We define a subalgebra, $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ say, which will be used to define our family of global semi-analytic sets.

2.2. $\mathbb{C}$-definable functions.

We begin by some notations and conventions. We identify $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$, and view subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Under this identification, functions from $\mathbb{C}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}$ become maps from $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$. The following facts will be constantly used without further mention. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set and if $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, there exist an open set $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\tilde{f}$ a holomorphic function on $\tilde{\Omega}$, such that $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega$ and $\tilde{f}_{|\Omega} = f$. We denote by $Re\tilde{f}$ and $Im\tilde{f}$ the real and imaginary part of $\tilde{f}$ respectively.

Definition 2.7. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open definable set, and a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. We call f $\mathbb{C}$-*definable,* if the holomorphic function $\tilde{f}$ is definable i.e. $Re\tilde{f}$ and $Im\tilde{f}$ are definable.

Remark 2.8. We note that, not every definable function is $\mathbb{C}$-definable, for example, if $\mathcal{R} = (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \exp)$, the function $x \to \exp x$ is definable but $Re\tilde{f}(x) = \cos x$ and $Im\tilde{f}(x) = \sin x$ are not definable.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}$-definable functions. clearly $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is closed under derivative and $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{|\Omega} \subset \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

3. GENERIC DIVISION

We denote by $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^p$ respectively. We put $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$, $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $1 \le j \le n$, $v_j = t_j + i\tau_j$, $1 \le j \le p$, with $i^2 = -1$.
If $n', p' \in \mathbb{N}$, $n' \le n$, $p' \le p$, we denote by $\pi_{(n\times p, n'\times p')} : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{p'}$ the projection defined by $\pi_{(n\times p, n'\times p')}(z_1, \dots, z_n, v_1, \dots, v_p) = (z_1, \dots, z_{n'}, v_1, \dots, v_{p'})$.
We consider the mapping $\sigma : \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ defined by $\sigma(v) = (-\sigma_1(v), \dots, (-1)^p\sigma_p(v))$, where σ_l is the l-th elementary symmetric function of the variables $(v_1, \dots, v_p)$, $1 \le l \le p$.
For each permutation α of the set $\{1, \dots, p\}$, we associate a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\pi_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p$ as follow:

$$\pi_\alpha(z, v_1, \dots, v_p) = (z, v_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, v_{\alpha(p)}).$$

A set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p$ is called symmetric, if $\pi_\alpha(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p$ be a symmetric set. A fonction $F : \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}$ is called symmetric, if $F \circ \pi_\alpha = F$.
For each $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $P(v, z_n) = z_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^p v_j z_n^{p-j}$ is called a generic polynomial in z_n of degree p. We put $|v| = max_{j=1}^p |v_j|$.

Lemma 3.1. [2] *Let* ξ *be a root of the polynomial* $P(v, z_n) = z_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} v_j z_n^{p-j}$, *then* $|\xi| \leq 2\, max_{j=1}^{p} |v_j|^{\frac{1}{j}}$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open definable set and $g \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, there exist an open definable $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and a definable holomorphic function $\tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\tilde{g}_{|\Omega} = g$ and $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega$. We consider:

$$\tilde{W} := \{(z', z_n, v) \in \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{C}^p / \forall \xi \text{ a root of } P(v, z_n),\ (z', \xi) \in \tilde{\Omega}\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The set* $\tilde{W}$ *is open, definable and* $\pi_{(n\times p, n\times 0)}(\tilde{W}) = \tilde{\Omega}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\tilde{W}$ is definable.
If $(z', z_n) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ then $(z', z_n, \sigma(z_n, \ldots, z_n)) \in \tilde{W}$, hence $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \pi_{(n\times p, n\times 0)}(\tilde{W})$, the other inclusion is trivial. Let us prove that $\tilde{W}$ is open.
Let $(z', z_n, v) \in \tilde{W}$, since $(z', z_n) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, there exists $r_1 > 0$, such that the ball:

$$\{(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \,/\, |z' - y'| < r_1,\ |z_n - y_n| < r_1\} \subset \tilde{\Omega}. \tag{3.1}$$

- If $v = 0$, we have then $(z', 0) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, there exists $r_2 > 0$, such that the ball:

$$\{(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \,/\, |z' - y'| < r_2,\ |y_n| < r_2\} \subset \tilde{\Omega}. \tag{3.2}$$

We put $r = inf\{r_1, r_2\}$, let $\rho > 0$, $\rho < (\frac{r}{2})^p$, and consider the ball:

$$B_{(z', z_n, 0)} := \{(y', y_n, u) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p \,/\, |z' - y'| < r,\ |y_n - z_n| < r, \mid u \mid < \rho\}.$$

We have $B_{(z', z_n, 0)} \subset \tilde{W}$. Indeed, if $(y', y_n, u) \in B_{(z', z_n, 0)}$, we have $(y', y_n) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ by 3.1.
Let η be a root of the polynomial $P(u, z_n) := z_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j z_n^{p-j}$, where $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_p)$. By lemma 3.1, we have $\mid \eta \mid \leq 2\, max_{j=1}^{p} |u_j|^{\frac{1}{j}}$. But, we have $|u_j| \leq |u| \leq (\rho^{\frac{1}{p}})^j$, hence $\mid \eta \mid \leq 2\rho^{\frac{1}{p}} < r$, which proves $(y', \eta) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ by 3.2 and then $(y', y_n, u) \in \tilde{W}$.

- If $v \neq 0$, we denote by $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p$ the roots of the polynomial $P(v, z_n) := z_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} v_j z_n^{p-j}$, where $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_p)$. Since $(z', z_n, v) \in \tilde{W}$, for each $j = 1, \ldots, p$ there exists $\rho_j > 0$ such that:

$$\{(y', y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \,/\, \mid y' - z' \mid < \rho_j,\ \mid z_n - \xi_j \mid < \rho_j\} \subset \tilde{\Omega}. \tag{3.3}$$

We put $r = min\{r_1, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_p\}$, where r_1 is the real in 3.1, and $\rho = max_{j=1}^{p} l_j$, where $l_j = (2 \mid v \mid)^{\frac{1}{j}}$.
Let $\epsilon >$ such that $2\rho\epsilon < r$ and $\forall j = 1, \ldots, p,\ \ \rho^j \epsilon^p \leq \mid v \mid$. We consider the ball;

$$B_{(z', z_n, v)} := \{(y', y_n, u) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p \,/\, |z' - y'| < r,\ |y_n - z_n| < r, \mid u_j - v_j \mid < \rho^j \epsilon^p,\ j = 1 \ldots, p\}.$$

We have $B_{(z',z_n,v)} \subset \tilde{W}$. Indeed, if $(y', y_n, u) \in B_{(z',z_n,v)}$, we see that $(y', y_n) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ by 3.1.
Let η be a root of the polynomial $P(u, z_n)$, where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_p)$, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p}(\eta - \xi_j) = \eta^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} v_j \eta^{p-j}.$$

Since $\eta^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j \eta^{p-j} = 0$, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p}(\eta - \xi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{p}(v_j - u_j)\eta^{p-j},$$

hence

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p} |(\eta - \xi_j)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mid v_j - u_j \mid\mid \eta \mid^{p-j} .$$

By lemma 3.1,$\mid \eta \mid \leq 2max_{j=1}^{p} \mid u_j \mid$, since $\mid u_j \mid \leq \mid u_j - v_j \mid + \mid v_j \mid$, we deduce that $\mid \eta \mid < 2\rho$. Hence:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p} |(\eta - \xi_j)| < 2^p \epsilon^p \rho^p.$$

There exists ξ_k such that $\mid \xi_k - \eta \mid < 2\rho\epsilon < r$, hence $(y', \eta) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ by 3.3, which proves the inclusion.

Remark 3.3. Let $\psi : \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{C}^p \to \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{C}^p$ be the mapping defined by $\psi(z, v) = (z, \sigma(v))$. Clearly, ψ is surjective and generically a submersion.
We put $\tilde{U} = \psi^{-1}(\tilde{W}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p$, we see that $\tilde{U}$ is open, symmetric and $\psi(\tilde{U}) = \tilde{W}$. We note also that for each $(z', z_n, v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \tilde{U}$ we have $(z', v_j) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.

In the following, we keep the notations and definitions above.

4. Division with generic polynomial

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P(v, z_n)$ be a generic polynomial in z_n of degree p. If $g \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, then there exist an open definable set $W \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^p$, $q \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ and $h_j \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{(n\times p, n-1\times p)}(W))$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, such that, for each $(x, t) \in W$,*

$$g(x) = q(x, t)P(t, x_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} h_j(x', t) x_n^{p-j}.$$

Proof. There is an open set $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\tilde{g}$ a holomorphic function on $\tilde{\Omega}$, such that, $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \Omega$ and $\tilde{g}_{|\Omega} = g$. If $(z', z_n, v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \tilde{U}$, we have:

$$\tilde{g}(z) - \tilde{g}(z', v_1) = (z_n - v_1)\tilde{g}_1(z, v),$$

with $\tilde{g}_1$ is a definable holomorphic function on $\tilde{U}$. By repeating this process with $\tilde{g}_1$, we have:

$$\tilde{g}_1(z,v)-\tilde{g}_1(z',v_2,v)=(z_n-v_2)\tilde{g}_2(z,v),$$

with $\tilde{g}_2$ is again a definable holomorphic function on $\tilde{U}$. At the end, we get:

$$\tilde{g}(z)=\tilde{q}(z,v)(z_n-v_1)\dots(z_n-v_p)+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\tilde{h}_j(z',v)z_n^{p-j},$$

with $\tilde{q}$ definable and holomorphic on $\tilde{U}$, and $\tilde{h}_j$, $1\leq j\leq p$, definable and holomorphic on $\pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(\tilde{U})$. The functions $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{h}_j$, $1\leq j\leq p$ are symmetric by construction. According to holomorphic Newton's theorem [[12], IX, 6.5], there are holomorphic functions $\tilde{Q}$ on $\tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{H}_j$ on $\pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(\tilde{W})$, $1\leq j\leq p$, such that $\tilde{q}=\tilde{Q}\circ\psi$ and $\tilde{h}_j=\tilde{H}_j\circ\psi$, $1\leq j\leq p$. Since ψ is surjective, these functions are definable. Since $P\circ\psi(z,v)=(z_n-v_1)\dots(z_n-v_p)$ and $\tilde{g}\circ\psi(z,v)=\tilde{g}(z)$, we see that

$$\tilde{g}(z)=\tilde{Q}(z,v)P(v,z_n)+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\tilde{H}_j(z',v)z_n^{p-j},$$

since ψ is generically a submersion. By restriction the above relation to $W:=\tilde{W}\cap\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^p$ and taking the real parts, we get

$$g(x)=Re\tilde{Q}(x,t)P(t,x_n)\sum_{j=1}^{p}Re\tilde{H}_j(x',t)x_n^{p-j},\ \forall(x,t)\in W,$$

which proves the theorem by putting $q(x,t)=Re\tilde{Q}(x,t)$ and $h_j(x',t)=Re\tilde{H}_j(x',t)$, $1\leq j\leq p$.

5. Semi-Global Weierstrass Division Theorem

As we have seen for the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, we consider the open set Ω equipped with the topology induced by $SM\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. This topological space will be denoted by $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$. It is clear that $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ is Noetherian, i.e. every decreasing sequence of closed sets stabilizes. If $A\subset\Omega$, we set:

$$I(A)=\{f\in\mathcal{A}(\Omega)/\,f(x)=0,\ \forall x\in A\}.$$

It is clear that $I(A)$ is an ideal. Let $Z\subset\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ be a closed irreducible set, then $I(Z)$ is a prime ideal. We put $\Lambda=\frac{\mathcal{A}(\Omega)}{I(Z)}$, Λ is a domain. We denote by $\Lambda[[x_1,\dots,x_n]]$ the ring of formal series in the variables $x_1,\dots,x_n$ with coefficients in Λ.

Each $f\in\Lambda[[x_1,\dots,x_n]]$ can be written as $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}f_n$ where $f_n\in\Lambda[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree n. We denote by $\omega(f)$ the smallest integer $n\in\mathbb{N}$, such that $f_n\neq 0$ and we call $f_{\omega(f)}$ the initial form of f. A formal series $f \in \Lambda[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ is called regular of order p with respect to x_n, if $f(0, \dots, 0, x_n) = \delta x_n^p + \dots\dots$, with $\delta \neq 0$ in $\Lambda = \frac{\mathcal{A}(\Omega)}{I(Z)}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \Lambda[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] - \{0\}$, *and put* $p_j = \omega(g_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. *Then there exists a linear isomorphism* $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *such that* $g_j \circ \sigma$ *is regular of order* p_j *with respect to* x_n, *for each* $j = 1, \dots r$.

Proof. Let $P \in \Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the product of the initial forms of $g_1, \dots, g_r$. P is a homogenous polynomial and $P \neq 0$, hence there exists $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n-1}, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $P(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n-1}, 1) \neq 0$. We consider the linear isomorphism

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + \nu_1 x_n, x_2 + \nu_1 x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} + \nu_{n-1} x_n, x_n).$$

It is clear that each $g_j \circ \sigma$ is regular of order p_j with respect to x_n, which proves the lemma.

5.1. **Semi-Global Weierstrass Preparation Theorem.** If $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ we denote by $\overline{h}$ its image by the canonical surjection $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \to \Lambda = \frac{\mathcal{A}(\Omega)}{I(Z)}$. We consider the morphism:

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) \to \Lambda[[x_1, \dots, x_n]],$$

defined by

$$g \to \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\overline{D^\omega g}}{\omega!} x^\omega,$$

where $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, $D^\omega g = \frac{\partial^{|\omega|}}{\partial x_1^{\omega_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\omega_n}} g$, $x^\omega = x_1^{\omega_1} \dots x_n^{\omega_n}$, and $\omega! = \omega_1! \dots \omega_n!$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a connected open definable set, and $g \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - \{0\}$. We consider $Z \subset \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ a closed irreducible set. Put $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the degree of the initial form of the series $\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\overline{D^\omega g}}{\omega!} x^\omega$. By lemma 4.1, after a linear change of coordinates, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, we can assume that $D^{(0,\dots,0,\nu)} g \in I(Z)$, $1 \leq \nu < p$ and $\delta := D^{(0,\dots,0,p)} g \notin I(Z)$. For each $a \in Z - V(\delta)$, the germ of g at a is regular of order p with respect to x_n, i.e. $g(a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} g(a) = \dots = \frac{\partial^{p-1}}{\partial x_n^{p-1}} g(a) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^p}{\partial x_n^p} g(a) \neq 0$.
There exists an open definable set $U \subset \Omega$, such that $Z - V(\delta) \subset U$ and $\delta(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in U$. By theorem 3.1 , there exists an open definable set $W \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^p$ with $\pi_{(n \times p, n \times 0)}(W) = U$, such that:

$$g(x) = q(x,t) P(t, x_n) \sum_{j=1}^{p} h_j(x', t) x_n^{p-j}, \ \forall (x,t) \in W, \tag{$*$}$$

where $q \in \mathcal{A}(W)$, $h_j \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{(n\times p, n-1\times p)}(W))$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.
We consider the application $\varphi : W \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$, defined by

$$\varphi(x,t) = (x, h_1(x',t), \ldots, h_p(x',t)).$$

we put

$$Y := \{(a', a_n, \sigma(a_n, \ldots, a_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p / \, (a', a_n) \in Z - V(\delta)\},$$

by the construction of W, we have $Y \subset W$.

Remark 5.2. Since g is regular of order p with respect to x_n at any point of Y, we deduce from $(*)$ that $\forall (a,b) \in Y$, $q(a,b) \neq 0$ and $h_j(a',b) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. We have then $\varphi(Y) = Z - V(\delta) \times \{0\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *The mapping φ is a local diffeomorphism at every point of Y. In addition, there exists an open neighborhood of Y, $W' \subset W$, such that the restriction of φ to W' is injective.*

Proof. By shrinking the open set W, we can assume that $\forall (x,y) \in W$, $q(x,y) \neq 0$. We note that

$$\frac{\partial h_l}{\partial t_j}(a', b) = -\delta_{lj} q(a,b), \;\; j, l = 1, \ldots, p, (a', a_n, b) \in Y,$$

where δ_{lj} is the Kronecker symbol, hence the determinant of the matrix $[\frac{\partial h_l}{\partial t_j}(a',b)]_{j,l=1,\ldots,p}$ is exactly $(-1)^p (q(a,b))^p$, we deduce the first assertion of the lemma.
To prove the second assertion, we consider the application $\theta : W \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$ defined by

$$\theta(x', x_n, t) = (x', x_n, t_1 + \sigma_1(x_n, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, t_p + (-1)^{p-1}\sigma_p(x_n, \ldots, x_n)).$$

It is clear that $\theta(Y) = Z - V(\delta) \times \{0\}$ and θ is a global diffeomorphism of W onto its image $\theta(W) = W_1$. We consider its inverse $\theta^{-1} : W_1 \to W$, and we put $\varphi_1 = \varphi \circ \theta^{-1}$. We note that, for all $a \in Z - V(\delta)$, $\varphi_1(a, 0) = (a, 0)$ and $\pi_{(n\times p, n\times 0)} \circ \varphi_1 = \pi_{(n\times p, n\times 0)}$. It is clear that to show the second assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that there exists $W_1' \subset W_1$ an open neighborhood of $Z - V(\delta) \times \{0\}$ such that $\varphi_{1|W_1'}$ is injective.
For each $a \in Z - V(\delta)$ there exists a ball $B((a,0), r_a) \subset W_1$, such that the restriction of φ_1 to $B((a,0), r_a)$ is injective. We set $W_1' = \bigcup_{a \in Z - V(\delta)} B((a,0), r_a)$, then the restriction of φ_1 to W_1' is injective. Indeed, if (x,y), $(x',y') \in W_1'$ are such that $\varphi_1(x,y) = \varphi_1(x',y')$, then $x = x'$. Secondly there exist $a, b \in Z - V(\delta)$ such that $(x,y) \in B((a,0), r_a)$ and $(x,y') \in B((b,0), r_b)$. If $r_a \leq r_b$, then $(x,y) \in B((b,0), r_b)$ and since the restriction of φ_1 to the ball $B((b,0), r_b)$ is injective, we deduce the result.

We put $W'_1 := \varphi(W')$. The mapping $\psi : \pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W') \to \pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W'_1)$ defined by

$$\psi(x',t) = (x', h_1(x',t), \dots, h_p(x',t))$$

is a global diffeomorphism with components in $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W'))$. We set $\gamma = \psi^{-1}$, we see then $\gamma = (x', \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p)$, with $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{A}(\pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W'_1))$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.
For each $(x',0) \in \pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W'_1)$, we put $\gamma_j(x',0) = \psi_j(x')$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, and $\psi(x') = (\psi_1(x'), \dots, \psi_p(x'))$. We see that $\forall (x',0) \in \pi_{(n\times p,n-1\times p)}(W'_1)$, $h_j(x', \psi(x')) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.
By equation $(*)$, we have then in an open definable set $\Omega_1 \supset Z - V(\delta)$:

$$g(x) = q(x', \psi(x'))P(\psi(x'), x_n),$$

with $\forall x \in \Omega_1$, $q(x', \psi(x')) \neq 0$.
If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then we denote the image of A by the projection into the first $n-1$ coordinates by A_{n-1}.
The following theorem summarizes the result we have just obtained.

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $g \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ *and* $Z \subset \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ *be a closed irreducible set. Then there exist* $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - I(Z)$, *and* $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ *an open definable set such that:*

- *(1)* $Z - V(\delta) \subset \Omega_1$.
- *(2)* $g(x) = U(x)(x_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^p r_j(x')x_n^{p-j})$ *where* $U \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_1)$, $U(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \Omega_1$, $r_j \in \mathcal{A}((\Omega_1)_{n-1})$, $r_j(a') = 0$, $\forall a' \in (Z - V(\delta))_{n-1}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.
  We say that g *is equivalent on* Ω_1 *to the polynomial* $x_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^p r_j(x')x_n^{p-j}$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let* $Z \subset \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ *be a closed irreducible set and* $g_1, \dots, g_s \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. *Then there exist* $p_1, \dots p_s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - I(Z)$, *and* $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ *an open definable set such that:*

- *(1)* $Z - V(\delta) \subset \Omega_1$.
- *(2)* $g_l(x) = U_l(x)(x_n^{p_l} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_l} r_{j,l}(x')x_n^{p_l-j})$ *where* $U_l \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_1)$, $U_l(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \Omega_1$, $r_{j,l} \in \mathcal{A}((\Omega_1)_{n-1})$, $r_{j,l}(a') = 0$, $\forall a' \in (Z - V(\delta))_{n-1}$, $1 \leq j \leq p_l$, $l = 1, \dots, s$.

Proof. We put p_l, $1 \leq l \leq s$, the degree of the initial form of the series $\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\overline{D^\omega g_l}}{\omega!} x^\omega \in \frac{\mathcal{A}(\Omega)}{I(Z)}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. By lemma 4.1, after a linear change of coordinates, we can suppose that $D^{(0,\dots,0,\nu)}g_l \in I(Z)$ if $\nu < p_l$ and $\delta_l := D^{(0,\dots,0,p_l)}g_l \notin I(Z)$, $1 \leq l \leq s$.
By theorem 4.4, for each $l = 1, \dots, s$, there exists $\Omega_l \subset \Omega$ an open definable such that $Z - V(\delta_l) \subset \Omega_l$ and $g_l(x) = U_l(x)(x_n^{p_l} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_l} r_{j,l}(x')x_n^{p_l-j})$ where $U_l \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_l)$, $U_l(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \Omega_l$, $r_{j,l} \in \mathcal{A}((\Omega_l)_{n-1})$, $r_{j,l}(a') = 0$, $\forall a' \in$

$(Z - V(\delta_l))_{n-1}$, $1 \leq j \leq p_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, s$.
We put $\delta = \delta_1 \ldots \delta_s$ and $\Omega_1 = \cap_{l=1}^{s} \Omega_l$. It is easy to see that δ and Ω_1, verify the corollary.

Corollary 5.6. *Let* $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$*, then there exists a finite number of definable open subsets of* Ω*:* $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_r$*, such that,*

(1) $\Omega = \cup_{j=1}^{r} \Omega_j$*,*

(2) $\forall l$*,* $1 \leq l \leq s$*, the restriction of* g_l*, on* Ω_j*,* $1 \leq j \leq r$*, is equivalent to a unitary polynomial with respect to* x_n *with coefficients in* $\mathcal{A}((\Omega_j)_{n-1})$*.*

Proof. Recall that $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ is a Noetherian topological space, hence $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \ldots \cup Z_\nu$, where Z_i is a closed irreducible set, $1 \leq i \leq \nu$. Applying the preceding corollary to $g_1, \ldots, g_s$ and $Z_1 \subset \Omega$, there exist $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - I(Z_1)$ and $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ an open definable set such that:$Z_1 - V(\delta_1) \subset \Omega_1$ and the restriction of each g_l, $1 \leq l \leq s$, to Ω_1 is equivalent to a unitary polynomial with respect to x_n with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}((\Omega_j)_{n-1})$.
Now consider the decomposition of the closed set $Z_1 \cap V(\delta_1)$ into irreducible sets: $Z_1 \cap V(\delta_1) = Z_{1,1} \cup Z_{1,2} \cup \ldots Z_{1,s_1}$. We repeat the same thing with $Z_{1,\mu}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq s_1$, and $g_1, \ldots, g_s$. This process stops because the space is Noetherian. We do the same work with $Z_2, \ldots, Z_\nu$, which proves the corollary.

6. $\mathcal{A}$-SEMI-ANALYTIC SETS

We now come to the setting of global semi-analytic sets. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open definable set.

Definition 6.1. A set $A \subset \Omega$ is called $\mathcal{A}$- semi analytic set if there exists a finite number of open definable sets $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, $\Omega = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{s} \Omega_j$ such that $A \cap \Omega_j$ is a finite union of sets of the form:

$$\{x \in \Omega / \, \varphi_0(x) = 0, \, \varphi_1(x) > 0, \ldots, \varphi_k > 0\},$$

with $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_j)$.

Note that the $\mathcal{A}$-semi analytic sets form a boolean algebra of subsets of Ω.
Before state and show the main result of this paper, we recall a result of [[4],ch.2, theorem 2.7], see also [[2], theorem 2.3.1] in the case of polynomials and [[1] , theorem 2.6] in the local analytic setting. First we recall some notations.

Let X be a nonempty topological space and $\mathbf{E}$ a ring of continuous real-valued functions $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$.
Call a set $A \subset X$ an $\mathbf{E}$-set if A is finite union of sets of the form:

$$\{x \in X / \, \varphi_0(x) = 0, \varphi_1(x) > 0, \ldots, \varphi_s(x) > 0\}, \quad \text{with } \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \ \varphi_s \in \mathbf{E}.$$

Let $A \subset X$, if $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions such that $\forall x \in A$, $f(x) < g(x)$, we put $(f, g) := \{(x, t) \in A \times \mathbb{R} / \, f(x) < t < g(x)\}$.

Theorem 6.2. [4] *Let $\varphi_1(T), \ldots, \varphi_M(T) \in \mathbf{E}[T]$. Then the list $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_M$ can be augmented to a list $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N$ in $\mathbf{E}[T]$ $(M \leq N)$, and X can be partitioned into finitely many $\mathbf{E}$-sets X_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that for each connected component C of each X_i there are continuous real-valued functions $\xi_{C,1} < \ldots < \xi_{C,m_C}$ on C with the following two properties:*

(1) each function φ_n, $1 \leq n \leq N$, has constant sign $(-1, 0, 1)$ on each of the graphs $\Gamma(\xi_{C,j})$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu(C)$, and on each of the sets $(\xi_{\xi_{C,j}}, \xi_{\xi_{C,j+1}})$, $0 \leq j \leq \mu(C)$, where $\xi_{C,0} := -\infty$ and $\xi_{C,j+1} := \infty$ are constant functions on C by convention,

(2) each of the sets $\Gamma(\xi_{C,j})$ and $(\xi_{\xi_{C,j}}, \xi_{\xi_{C,j+1}})$ from (1) is of the form

$$\{(x, t) \in C \times \mathbb{R} / \, sign(\varphi_n(x, t) = \epsilon(n) \text{ for } n = 1, \ldots, N\}$$

for a suitable sign condition $\epsilon : \{1, \ldots, N\} \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Theorem 6.3. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open definable set and $A \subset \Omega$ an $\mathcal{A}$-semianalytic set. Then A has only a finitely many connected component, and each component is also an $\mathcal{A}$- semianalytic set.*

Proof. Since the structure $\mathcal{R}$ is o-minimal and A is definable, we see that A has only a finitely many connect components.
For the second assertion of the theorem, we proceed by induction on n. For $n = 1$, the result is trivial, since A is a finite union of points and intervals. We suppose $n > 1$ and the result is true for $n - 1$.
There exists a finite number of open definable sets $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, $\Omega = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{s} \Omega_j$ such that $A \cap \Omega_j$ is a finite union of sets of the form:

$$\{x \in \Omega / \, \varphi_0(x) = 0, \, \varphi_1(x) > 0, \ldots, \varphi_k > 0\},$$

with $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_j)$. We will restrict to each open Ω_j, $1 \leq j \leq s$. We can then suppose that A is described, in Ω, by $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Applying the corollary 4.6 to this list, there exists a finite number of definable open subsets of Ω: $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_r$, such that,

(1) $\Omega = \cup_{j=1}^{r} \Omega_j$,

(2) $\forall l$, $1 \leq l \leq s$, the restriction of g_l, on Ω_j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, is equivalent to a unitary polynomial with respect to x_n with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}((\Omega_j)_{n-1})$.

For each g_l, $1 \leq l \leq s$, we can then suppose that the restriction of g_l on each Ω_j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, is in $\mathcal{A}((\Omega_j)_{n-1})[x_n]$. The result follows from theorem 5.2 by taking $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{A}((\Omega_j)_{n-1})$ and the induction hypothesis.

7. SEMI-GLOBAL WEIERSTRASS DIVISION THEOREM

We give here a semi global Weierstrass division theorem for the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. We keep the notations and assumptions of theorem 4.4. If $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ and γ is a multiple of γ' in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, we write $\gamma \gtrsim \gamma'$. Let $Z \subset \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ be a closed irreducible set and $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - I(Z)$, we put

$$\mathcal{A}_{Z,\gamma} = \{f \,/\, \text{There is an open definable set}\, W \subset \Omega,\ Z - V(\gamma) \subset W,\ \text{with}\, f \in \mathcal{A}(W)\}.$$

If $\gamma \gtrsim \gamma'$, we have a restriction morphism $\mathcal{A}_{Z,\gamma'} \to \mathcal{A}_{Z,\gamma}$. We put

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = Lim_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) - I(Z)} \mathcal{A}_{Z,\gamma}.$$

Theorem 7.1. *[Division theorem] Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, then there exists an open definable set $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ such that $Z - V(\delta) \subset \Omega_1$ and $\forall x \in \Omega_1$:*

$$f(x) = q(x)g(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} r_j(x') x_n^{p-j},$$

where $q \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega_1)$, $r_j \in \mathcal{A}((\Omega_1)_{n-1})$, $j \leq j \leq p$. The functions q, r_j, $j \leq j \leq p$, are unique in $\mathcal{A}_Z$.

Proof. The uniqueness follows trivially from the fact that the germ of g at each point $a \in Z - V(\delta)$ is regular of order p with respect to x_n.
By theorem 4.4, there exists an open set $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$, such that $Z - V(\delta) \subset \Omega_1$ and g is equivalent, in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega_1)$, to the polynomial $x_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} r_j(x') x_n^{p-j}$. By theorem 3.1, we can divide f by the generic polynomial $x_n^p + \sum_{j=1}^{p} t_j x_n^{p-j}$, and we substitute $(r_1(x'), \ldots, r_p(x'))$ in the place of $(t_1, \ldots, t_p)$, which gives the result.

Acknowledgment. the results of this paper were completed during my stay in the ICTP centre . I want to thank the mathematics section for the invitation.

REFERENCES

[1] **E. Bierston and P. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, IHES Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 5- 42.**

[2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F.Roy, *Géométrie algébrique réelle* , Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge Band 12, Springer,Berlin-Heidelberg, 1987.
[3] G. Collin , *Quantifier elimination for real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition*, Autom. theor. form. lang. Lect. Notes comput. Sci. 33, 134-183 (1975).
[4] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures* , London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 248.
[5] L. van den Dries and Chris Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol¿ 84, N0. 2 (1996)
[6] H. Hironaka , *Triangulation of algebraic sets* in " Algebraic Geometry"; Proc. Symp. Pues Math., vol. 29,AMS, Providence, RI, 1975,pp. 165-185.
[7] Le Gal and J.-P. Rolin ,*Une structure o-minimale sans décomposition cellulaire C^∞* , Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).
[8] J.-M. Lion and P. Speissegger, *Analytic stratification in the Pfaffian closure of an o-minimal structure*, Duke Math. J. 103, No.2, 215 231 (2000).
[9] S. Lojasiewicz, *Ensembles semi-analytiques*, Lecture Notes, IHES, Bure-sur-Yvette, France, 1965.
[10] C. Miller, Expansion of the real field with power functions, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 68 (1994), 79-94.
[11] J.-J. Risler, *Sur l'anneau des fonctions de Nash globales*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 8, 365- 378 (975).
[12] J. Cl. Tougeron, *Idéaux des fonctions différentiables*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, Berlin (1972).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University Ibn Tofail
B.P. 133, Kénitra, Morocco
E-mail address: `kabdelhafed@hotmail.com`